

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 27313-1450

Alexandria,		2313-1450	,	
www.uspto.	gov			

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,861	02/22/2002	Robert Otillar	06730.0016.CPUS00	2418
7:	590 10/05/2006		EXAM	INER
ROBERT P. O	OTILLAR		LUDLOW	/, JAN M
950 N. SAN A	NTONIO RD			
SUITE 16D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ALTOS, CA 94022		1743		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/683,861	OTILLAR ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jan M. Ludlow	1743					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	eptember 2005.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> is/are pending in the application.	· •						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-38 and 42-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[🔀	Claim(s) 39-41 and 46-78 are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requiremer	it.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2004 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	, ,					
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	•					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ived in this National Stage					
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ved					
	and the attached detailed emice detail for a not	or the contined copies her recor	vou.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔀 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa						
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 09/683,861 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 12, 2005 has been entered.

- 2. The Notice of Non-Responsive amendment mailed January 5, 2006 is withdrawn.
- 3. Upon reconsideration of the claims, further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - IIIA. Claims 39-41, 46-58, 74-75, 77 drawn to a method, classified in class 436, subclass 174.
 - IIIB. Claims 59-73, 76, 78 drawn to a method, classified in class 436, subclass 174.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require that the transducing elements attract the particles, but rather that the elements transude a motive force, which includes, e.g., repelling the particles and is not limited to attracting

Art Unit: 1743

the particles. The subcombination has separate utility such as attracting particles in the absence of a magnetic field.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Note that the inventions require different search terms in electronic searching with the concomitant analysis of different references and issues of patentability.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1743

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1743

Jml

October 3, 2006